

Appl. No. : 10/762,209
Filed : January 20, 2004

REMARKS

This paper is in response to the Office Action dated February 11, 2005. Applicants have amended the application as set forth above. Specifically, Claims 1-22 have been amended and Claim 23 has been added. Upon the entry of the amendments, Claims 1-23 are pending in this application. No new matter is added by the amendments as discussed below. Applicants respectfully request the entry of the amendments and reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Discussion of Amendments

The amendments to Claims 1-22 are made to redefine the subject matter as “a hand-held electronic device.” Support for the amendments can be found in, for example, original Claim 1 and Figure 1 and throughout the specification. Claim 1 is further amended to add additional features, which are supported by, for example, Figure 1 and its description in the specification. Claims 3, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14-17, 20 and 21 are further amended to correct grammatical errors. Claims 4 and 9 are further amended to define additional features, which are supported by, for example, Figures 10 and 11 and their description in the specification. Claims 5, 8, 10-15 are further amended to clarify the existing limitations. New Claim 23 is added to further define additional feature and supported by the specification, for example, in the field of the invention section. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments are fully supported by the application as originally filed and do not add new matter. Applicants respectfully request the entry of the amendments.

The title of the invention has been amended to reflect the amendment to the claims, and is also supported by the originally filed application.

Discussion of Objection to Claim 11

The Examiner objected to Claim 11 as containing a grammatical error in the term “rotates.” In reply, Applicants have amended Claim 11 as suggested by the Examiner.

Discussion of Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 9-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,009,568 to Miyazaki. Applicants respectfully disagree with the

Appl. No. : 10/762,209
Filed : January 20, 2004

Examiner. However, in order to expedite prosecution and early issuance of a patent, Applicants have amended Claim 1 to redefine the claimed invention as "a hand-held electronic device" and to incorporate additional features. The additional features include "a first unit and a second unit, wherein at least one of the first and second units comprises a display, and at least one of the first and second units comprises an input device."

As indicated in the above Summary of Interview section, the Examiner and Applicants' Representative agreed that Claim 1 as amended overcomes the rejection based on Miyazaki. Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 1 and its dependent claims are not anticipated by and patentable over Miyazaki.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's notes that Claims 3, 5, 8, 21 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

CONCLUSION

The Applicants have endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. In light of the above remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions which may be answered by telephone, he is invited to call the undersigned directly.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: May 6, 2005

By: 

Mincheol Kim
Registration No. 51,306
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(619) 235-8550